Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/743,836	SAKAI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	JYOTHSNA A. VENKAT	1619
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>JYOTHSNA A. VENKAT</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>RICHARD CHIN</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 29 September 2010	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: .	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: NA		
Claims discussed: as of record		
Prior art documents discussed: NA		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE Examiner informed the attorney that the claims would read bette rewriting the abstract. Attorney authorized the examiner to amen paragraph by examiners amendment in order to place the application.	er by deleting "non-ionic surfactant' nd the claims and rewrite the abstr	" in claims 21 and 22 and
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa 	ne examiner will provide a written record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/JYOTHSNA A VENKAT / Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1619	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)